N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Moore, Steven J.

Art Unit:

3712

Serial No.:

09/638,012

Examiner:

Miller, Bena

3712/ Vh

(Reissue Request of U.S.

Patent No. 5,795,209)

Filing Date:

08/14/2000 (Reissue Request) Docket No.:

122995-72252

Title:

Package Amusement Device and Method

# **CERTIFICATE OF FIRST CLASS MAILING**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being deposited) was deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Reissue, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: September 30, 2004

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE (REPLY) TO OFFICE ACTION OF NOVEMBER 5, 2003 (MAILING DATE) FILED IN RE-SPONSE TO OFFICE ACTIONS OF JUNE 18, 2004 AND SEPTEMBER 8, 2004 ASSERTING NON-CONFORMANCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.173

# I. INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Applicant respectfully submits this document as responsive to the Office Actions mailed from the USPTO on June 18, 2004 and September 8, 2004 which require reformatting of the reply to the Office Action of November 5, 2003 (Paper No. 5), mailed March 5, 2004 (Examiner asserts filing on March 8, 2004), in conformance with 37 C.F.R. 1.173.

# • Location of Specified Sections for Scanning of this Document

SECTIONS OF DOCUMENTE :	LOCATION OF SECTION
I. INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS	Pages 1 - 3
II. AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS	Pages 4 - 6
AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION	N/A
AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS	N/A
III. REMARKS	Pages 7 - 9
APPENDIX	N/A

### • Request for Entrance of Response

Applicant respectfully requests that the following amendments be entered, and the remarks set forth below be considered, as responsive to the office action bearing the mailing date of November 5, 2003 (Paper No. 5) ("Office Action"), reformatted to be in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.173 pursuant to the Office Action of June 18, 2004.

#### • Request for, and Payment of, Extension Fee for Response to Office Action

A reply in response to the Office Action mailed on November 5, 2003 was made on or about March 5, 2004. In such reply, Applicant requested a one month extension up to and including March 5, 2004. A check for \$55 (small entity) was enclosed to cover such one month extension. A supplemental reply was resubmitted pursuant to the Office Action of June 18, 2004 in order to amend the reply of March 5, 2004 to be in full compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.173 (MPEP 1453). In the Office Action of September 8, 2004, it was once more asserted that the

supplemental reply of July 15, 2004 was not fully in compliance with USPTO regulations. Such Office Action requires an extension fee to be paid with the resubmitted Supplemental Response. Applicant therefore includes a check for \$475 for a three month extension of time.

# • Payment For New Claims in Excess of Twenty and New Independent Claims

In the reply made on March 5, 2004, Applicant, as small entity, added two new independent claims which constitute claims in excess of 20 claims. Applicant submitted a check therein for \$43  $\times$  2 = \$86 (new independent claims in excess of three) plus \$9  $\times$  2 = \$18 (new claims in excess of twenty), for a total due of \$105.